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Introduction

Sexual Harassment

- Employee
- Quid Pro Quo
- Severe
- Pervasive
- Obj. Offensive
- Sexual Assault
- Dating Violence
- Domestic Violence
- Stalking

Non-Sexual Harassment

Ex. Other Inappropriate Sexual Conduct

Distinctions...
Definition of “Sexual Harassment” under Title IX

Conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies one or more of the following:

1. An employee of the institution conditioning the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the institution on an individual’s participation in unwelcome sexual conduct (Quid Pro Quo);
2. Unwelcome conduct determined by a reasonable person to be so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies a person equal access to the institution’s education program or activity; or
3. “Sexual assault,” “dating violence,” “domestic violence,” or “stalking” as defined under Clery/VAWA.

Source: Title IX Regulations (2020)

Definition of “Other Inappropriate Sexual Conduct”

Conduct on the basis of sex that does not meet the definition of “sexual harassment” (under the Model Policy), but is

1. Verbal conduct (including through electronic means), unwanted statements of a sexual nature intentionally stated to a person or group of people, that are objectively offensive to a reasonable person and also so severe or pervasive that it created a Hostile Environment, as defined in the Model Policy.
2. Physical conduct...

Source: UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2020)
Definition of “Other Inappropriate Sexual Conduct” (Cont.)

Possible Examples (depending on facts):
- Unwelcome sexual advances (including explicit or implicit proposition(s) of sexual contact or activity);
- Requests for sexual favors (including overt or subtle pressure);
- Gratuitous comments about an individual’s sexual activities or speculation about an individual’s sexual experiences;
- Gratuitous comments, jokes, questions, anecdotes or remarks of a sexual nature about clothing or bodies;
- Persistent, unwanted sexual or romantic attention;
- Exposure to sexually suggestive visual displays such as photographs, graffiti, posters, calendars or other materials;
- Deliberate, repeated humiliation or intimidation;
- Unwelcome intentional touching of a sexual nature; or
- Deliberate physical interference with or restriction of movement.

Source: UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2020)

“Education program or activity” under Title IX

Includes locations, events, or circumstances over which the institution exercises substantial control over both the respondent and the context in which the alleged sexual harassment occurs, and also includes any building owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized by the institution.

- Example of a “building owned or controlled by a student organization”: Fraternity or sorority house that is occupied by students of the organization, and the student organization is a recognized organization with the institution.

Source: Title IX Regulations (2020)
Key Pillars: Title IX Processes

Title IX processes should focus on **impartiality, respect, fairness, & equity** for all of the participants:

- Complainants
- Respondents
- Witnesses
- Third-party Reporters

Serving Impartially in Your Role

- Must avoid *prejudgment* of the facts at issue
- Must avoid *conflicts of interest*
- Must avoid *bias*

Source: Title IX Regulations (2020)
Principles for Title IX Process

- Must maintain **complete neutrality & impartiality at all times** in investigating alleged conduct violations of institutional policies.
- Understanding bias & whether it exists: Need to take an “**objective, common sense approach to evaluating whether a person serving in a role is biased**.” (*Title IX Preamble (2020))*

Avoiding Bias

- Must **not** treat a party differently:
  - On the basis of the person’s **sex**;
  - On **stereotypes** about how men or women behave with respect to sexual violence; and/or
  - On the basis of the person’s **characteristics**: sex, race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability, immigration status, financial ability, socioeconomic status, or other characteristic.

*Source: Title IX Preamble (2020)*
Use a “reasonable person” standard for weighing all of the evidence:

- An objective test to denote a hypothetical person who exercises average care, skill, and judgment in conduct under similar circumstances as a comparative standard.

Institutional Policy & Definitions
Institutional Sexual Misconduct Policy (Example)

Prohibits sex discrimination, sexual harassment, retaliation, and other prohibited conduct under the policy, including:

- Sex Discrimination
- Sexual Harassment
  - Sexual Assault
  - Dating Violence
  - Domestic Violence
  - Stalking
- Retaliation
- Sexual Exploitation
- Other Inappropriate Sexual Conduct
- False Information & False Complaints
- Interference with the Grievance Process
- Failure to Report (for Responsible Employees)

Source: UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2020)

Definition of “Sex Discrimination”

Occurs when an individual is treated less favorably on the basis of that person’s sex (including gender), which may also include on the basis of sexual orientation, gender identity, or expression, pregnancy or pregnancy-related condition, or a sex stereotype.

Sexual harassment, as defined in the Model Policy, is a form of sex discrimination.

Source: UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2020)
**Definition of “Sexual Assault” under Clery/VAWA**

For the purposes of this definition under Clery/VAWA, **Consent** means:

Voluntary, positive agreement between the participants to engage in specific sexual activity.

**An offense that meets the definition of Rape, Fondling, Incest, or Statutory Rape:**

- **Rape:** The penetration, no matter how slight, of the vagina or anus with any body part or object, or oral penetration by a sex organ of another person, without the consent of the victim.
- **Fondling:** The touching of the private body parts of another person for the purpose of sexual gratification, without the consent of the victim, including instances where the victim is incapable of giving consent because of his/her age or because of his/her temporary or permanent mental incapacity.
- **Incest:** Sexual intercourse between persons who are related to each other within the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by law.
- **Statutory Rape:** Sexual intercourse with a person who is under the statutory age of consent.

**Source:** UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2020)

---

**Definition of “Dating Violence” under Clery/VAWA**

Violence committed by a person who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the victim. The existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on the consideration of the following factors:

- The **length** of the relationship;
- The **type** of relationship; and
- The **frequency** of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship.

Dating violence includes, but is not limited to, sexual or physical abuse or the threat of such abuse. It does not include acts covered under the definition of Domestic Violence.

**Source:** UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2020)
Definition of “Domestic Violence” under Clery/VAWA

A felony or misdemeanor crime of violence committed:
- By a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the victim;
- By a person with whom the victim shares a child in common;
- By a person who is cohabitating with or has cohabitated with the victim as a spouse or intimate partner;
- By a person similarly situated to a spouse of the victim under the domestic or family violence laws of the jurisdiction in which the crime of violence occurred; or
- By any other person against an adult or youth victim who is protected from that person’s acts under the domestic or family violence laws of the jurisdiction in which the crime of violence occurred.

Source: UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2020)

Definition of “Stalking” under Clery/VAWA

Engaging in a course of conduct directed at a specific person that would cause a reasonable person to fear for his or her safety or the safety of others, or suffer substantial emotional distress.

For the purposes of this definition:
- **Course of conduct** means two or more acts, including, but not limited to, acts in which the stalker directly, indirectly, or through third parties, by any action, method, device, or means, follows, monitors, observes, surveils, threatens, or communicates to or about a person, or interferes with a person's property.
- **Reasonable person** means a reasonable person under similar circumstances and with similar identities to the victim.
- **Substantial emotional distress** means significant mental suffering or anguish that may, but does not necessarily, require medical or other professional treatment or counseling.

Source: UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2020)
Definition of “Sexual Exploitation”

Conduct where an individual takes non-consensual or abusive sexual advantage of another for their own benefit, or to benefit anyone other than the one being exploited.

Examples of sexual exploitation include, but are not limited to: Engaging in voyeurism; forwarding of pornographic or other sexually inappropriate material by email, text, or other channels to non-consenting students/groups; the intentional removal of a condom or other contraceptive barrier during sexual activity without the consent of a sexual partner; and any activity that goes beyond the boundaries of consent, such as recording of sexual activity, letting others watch consensual sex, or knowingly transmitting a sexually transmitted disease (STD) to another.

Source: UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2020)

First Amendment Rights: Free Speech & Academic Freedom

Constitutionally protected expression cannot be considered Sexual Misconduct (e.g. “sexual harassment,” “other inappropriate sexual conduct”) under the institution’s Sexual Misconduct policy.

Note: Neither party may be restricted from discussing allegations or from gathering evidence in a grievance process.
Retaliation Prohibited under Title IX

No institution or other person may intimidate, threaten, coerce, or discriminate against any individual for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured by Title IX; or because an individual has made a report or formal complaint, testified, assisted, or participated or refused to participate in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing.

Definition of “Retaliation”

Any adverse action (including, but is not limited to, intimidation, threats, coercion, harassment, or discrimination) taken against someone because the individual has made a report or filed a Formal Complaint; or who has supported or provided information in connection with a report or a Formal Complaint; participated or refused to participate in a Grievance Process under this Policy; or engaged in other legally protected activities.

Note: Any person who retaliates against (a) anyone filing a report of Sexual Misconduct or Formal Complaint, (b) the parties or any other participants (including any witnesses or any University employee) in a Grievance Process relating to a Formal Complaint, (c) any person who refuses to participate in a Grievance Process, or (d) any person who under this Policy opposed any unlawful practice, is subject to disciplinary action up to and including dismissal or separation from the University.

Source: UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2020)
Definition of “False Information & False Complaints”

Any person, who in bad faith, knowingly files a false complaint under this Policy or provides materially false information is subject to disciplinary action up to and including dismissal or separation from the University.

**Note:** A determination that a Respondent is not responsible for allegations of Sexual Misconduct does not imply a report, Formal Complaint, or information provided was false. Similarly, a determination that a Respondent is responsible for a policy violation does not imply that a Respondent’s statements disclaiming responsibility were false.

Source: UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2020)

---

Definition of “Interference with the Grievance Process”

Interference with a Grievance Process may include, but is not limited to:

- Attempting to coerce, compel, or prevent an individual from providing testimony or relevant information;
- Removing, destroying, or altering documentation relevant to the Grievance Process; or
- Knowingly providing false or misleading information to the Title IX Coordinator, investigator or hearing officer, or encouraging others to do so.

**Note:** Any person who interferes with the grievance process is subject to disciplinary action up to and including dismissal or separation from the University.

Source: UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2020)
Under the institution’s Sexual Misconduct Policy, **Responsible Employees** have a duty to report incidents and information reasonably believed to be sexual misconduct (prohibited conduct defined) under the Policy.

All employees are Responsible Employees (except Confidential Employees). Responsible Employees include all administrators, faculty, and staff.

Responsible Employees must report all known information concerning an alleged incident of sexual misconduct to the **Title IX Coordinator**.

**Source:** UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2020)

Under state law, if a Responsible Employee knowingly fails to report all information concerning an incident the employee reasonably believes constitutes stalking, dating violence, sexual assault, or sexual harassment committed by or against a student or employee at the time of the incident, the employee is subject to disciplinary action, including termination.

**Source:** UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2020)
Triage & Case Management

Operational Roles, Delegations, & Structure?

- Title IX Coordinator
- Title IX Deputies
- Case Mgt Coordinators
- Investigators
- Advisors provided by the Institution
- Informal Resolution Facilitators
- Appellate Role for Formal Complaint Dismissals
- Threat Assessment Personnel
- Decision-Makers for Emergency Removals
- Adjudication Transition Coordinators
- Hearing Officers
- University Representative Role at Hearings
- Appellate Role for Policy Violation Determinations
- Legal Affairs Support
- Others ???
Considerations for Documentation & Record Keeping?

• Documentation Checklists & Forms
• Intake & Initial Assessments
• Case Management Timelines & Decisions
• Contact & Communications
• Notices, Letters, Dismissals, & Reports
• Data Systems for Electronic & Hard Copy Records

Resolution Flowchart

A Report to TIXC

Can be submitted by anyone: Complainant, witness, third-party, employee, etc.

Triage & Preliminary Assessment

Formal Complaint

Submitted/Signed by CP | Submitted/Signed by TIXC

Written Notice of Formal Complaint

Supportive Measures | Rights & Options | Notice of Grievance Process

Resolution Options

Formal Grievance Process | Informal Resolution | Formal Complaint Dismissal

Supportive Measures to CP

Emergency Removal or Administrative Leave
Basis of the Allegation(s)?

- Alleged **incident** of prohibited conduct
- Alleged **pattern** of prohibited conduct
- Alleged **climate issue(s)** in an area or department

Allegation Type(s): Sexual Misconduct Policy?

- Sex Discrimination
- Sexual Harassment
- Sexual Assault
- Dating or Domestic Violence
- Stalking
- Sexual Exploitation
- Other Inappropriate Sexual Conduct
- Retaliation
- Failure to Report or False Report
- Interference with an Investigation
- Other(s) ???
Other Triage Analysis & Considerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CP &amp; RP Affiliation to the Institution?</th>
<th>Incident Location(s)</th>
<th>Date/Time of Incident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time of Alleged Incident: Institutional Nexus or Jurisdiction?</td>
<td>Institutional Action?</td>
<td>Preventative Action?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Remedies?</td>
<td>Level of Concern?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Threat Level? |

A Report to the Title IX Coordinator (TIXC)

TIXC must promptly contact the complainant to discuss the availability of supportive measures (with or without a formal complaint), consider the wishes of the complainant, and explain the process for filing a formal complaint.
Examples of Supportive Measures

Non-Disciplinary & Non-Punitive Measures... that do not unreasonably burden the other party

- Housing Reassignment
- Counseling Services
- Class Extensions or Adjustments
- Work or Class Modifications
- Class Withdrawal or Retake (w/o penalty)
- Leave of Absence
- Mutual No-Contact Btwn Parties
- Campus Escort Services
- Increased Campus Security

Disciplinary or Punitive Measures

Any **disciplinary or punitive measures** may only be implemented following the **conclusion** of the Grievance Process, unless an **Emergency Removal** is appropriate.
Party’s Rights

A Complainant and Respondent have the following rights during a grievance process:

• To be informed of and have access to counseling, medical, academic, and other applicable support services, including confidential resources.
• To be informed of the importance of a victim going to a hospital for treatment and the preservation of evidence, if applicable, as soon as practicable after an alleged incident.
• To be informed of a notice of formal complaint to the University, whether filed by a CP or the TIXC.
• To receive a prompt, fair, equitable, and impartial grievance process.
• To receive information and ask questions about the formal and informal processes.

Party’s Rights (Cont.)

• To be given equal chance to participate in a grievance process, including the opportunity to identify witnesses and other relevant evidence.
• To choose not to actively participate in the grievance process, if desired.
• To have an advisor of choice present during all meetings and grievance proceedings.
• To have an advisor provided for a party at a hearing under the Title IX/SH grievance process, if an advisor of choice is not present.
Party’s Rights (Cont.)

• To have access and equal opportunity to inspect and review any evidence obtained as part of the investigation, and to receive a copy of the completed investigation report.
• To be equally informed of any determinations regarding responsibility, dismissals of formal complaints, and/or a party’s filing of an appeal.
• To appeal a determination regarding responsibility and/or dismissals of formal complaints.
• To file a report with local and/or campus law enforcement authorities.

Complainant’s Rights

• To report an incident and/or file a formal complaint with the University.
• To request in writing that the University not investigate a reported incident and be informed of the University’s decision whether or not to investigate.
• To request in writing a dismissal of a formal complaint (e.g. withdraws the formal complaint or any allegations therein).
### Other Required Action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Concern</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-emergency behavioral or wellness issues(s)</td>
<td>Refer to Behavior Intervention Team (BIT) or campus equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate safety concerns (emergencies) or welfare check required</td>
<td>Report immediately to 911</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Clery reportable crimes that meet Clery geography requirements and/or timely warning requirements | **Timely Warnings:** Report immediately to campus law enforcement  
**Clery Crime Reporting:** Report to the campus Clery Manager                                                                 |
| Alleged abuse and/or neglect of minors                                        | Report immediately to Dept. of Family & Protective Services (DFPS): 800-252-5400                                                        |
| Alleged conduct could violate other institutional policies                    | Refer to the appropriate office(s) who oversees the applicable policies                                                               |

---

### TIXC: Options for Next Steps

- Supportive Measures for the CP
- CP's right to file a formal complaint
- TIXC files a formal complaint, in lieu of a Reluctant Complainant and/or compelling reasons to investigate further...
TIXC: File a Formal Complaint?

Consider if there are compelling reasons:

1. The nature, circumstances, & seriousness of the alleged conduct;
2. The safety & risk of harm to others;
3. Any pattern evidence, other similar conduct or allegations of the RP;
4. RP’s affiliation with the institution & applicable options for institutional action; and/or
5. Other relevant factors in the specific matter?

Resolution Flowchart

A Report to TIXC

Can be submitted by anyone: Complainant, witness, third-party, employee, etc.

Formal Complaint

Submitted/Signed by CP  Submitted/Signed by TIXC

Written Notice of Formal Complaint

Supportive Measures  Rights & Options  Notice of Grievance Process

Resolution Options

Formal Grievance Process  Informal Resolution  Formal Complaint Dismissal
Formal Grievance Process Flowchart (Zoomed-In)

Sexual Misconduct Cases

Formal Complaint/Notice Issued

Investigation Initiated

Gathering Evidence
Witness Interviews

Process Resolution Options

Investigation Report
Informal Resolution
Formal Complaint Dismissal

Next Steps (if applicable)

Adjudication
Appeal F.C. Dismissal
Mandatory Dismissals are required when the definitional or any element of the jurisdictional framework under Title IX is not met:

- Conduct alleged constitutes “sexual harassment” under the regulations;
- Conduct alleged occurs in the institution’s “education program or activity”; 
- Conduct alleged occurs against a person “in the United States”; or 
- Complainant is participating in or attempting to participate in the “education program or activity” of the institution.

If Mandatory Dismissal is required, then are additional discretionary reasons for a dismissal applicable to the specific circumstances?

- CP expressly prefers to dismiss the formal complaint or requests to not investigate the matter.
- RP was an employee and is no longer employed by the institution at the time the formal complaint is filed.
- Any specific circumstances that prevent the institution from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination as to the formal complaint or allegations.
- The conduct alleged does not meet the definition of any prohibited conduct under the institution’s Sexual Misconduct Policy.
Dismissing a Formal Complaint under Title IX doesn’t preclude an institution from addressing conduct prohibited under an institution’s policy through a fair and equitable grievance process…

Triage Again

Consider compelling reasons for continuing a grievance process:

1. The nature, circumstances, & seriousness of the alleged conduct;
2. The safety & risk of harm to others;
3. Any pattern evidence, other similar conduct or allegations of the RP;
4. RP’s affiliation with the institution & applicable options for institutional action; and/or
5. Other relevant factors in the specific matter?
Notice of Formal Complaint Dismissal

1. Note the reasons for the mandatory dismissal “under Title IX” (if applicable).

2. Explain the rationale for the following:
   - Additional discretionary reason(s) for dismissing the formal complaint under the institution’s policy and closing the case; or
   - Additional compelling reason(s) for continuing a grievance process under the institution’s policy (e.g. the allegations still apply to the policy).

3. Option to Appeal the F.C. Dismissal

4. Outline next steps in the process (if applicable) or note the conclusion/end of the process with this formal complaint dismissal.

Formal Complaint Dismissal (Example #1)

Formal Complaint (Signed by TIXC)/Notice Issued

Grounds (examples): Serious nature of the allegations; Multiple reports/alleged incidents naming same RP

Initiate Formal Grievance Process (Investigation Stage)

Formal Complaint Dismissed (Close Case)

Basis (example): Specific circumstances that prevent... the gathering [of] evidence sufficient to reach a determination... Specifically (example): Lack of participation from CP

Notice of F. C. Dismissal Issued (Close Case): Option to Appeal

Procedural Irregularity New Evidence Bias/Conflict of Interest

Appeal Resolution Options

Affirm F.C. Dismissal (Close Case) Remand back to Investigation Stage
Formal Complaint Dismissal (Example #2)

Formal Complaint Dismissal (“Under Title IX”) & Cont. Investigation
- Basis “Under Title IX” (example): Allegations don’t meet “severe, pervasive, and obj. offensive” elements of “sexual harassment” definition
- Reason(s) for Continuing (example): Allegations meet definition(s) of other prohibited conduct under SM Policy

Notice of F. C. Dismissal Issued (“Under Title IX”): Option to Appeal
- Procedural Irregularity
- New Evidence
- Bias/Conflict of Interest

Appeal Resolution Options
- Affirm F.C. Dismissal ("Under Title IX") & Cont. Investigation under SM Policy (e.g. with "other inapp. sexual conduct" allegations)
- Cont. Investigation "Under Title IX" (e.g. with "sexual harassment" allegations)

Resolution Flowchart

A Report to TIXC
- Can be submitted by anyone: Complainant, witness, third-party, employee, etc.

Formal Complaint
- Submitted/Signed by CP
- Submitted/Signed by TIXC

Written Notice of Formal Complaint
- Supportive Measures
- Rights & Options
- Notice of Grievance Process

Resolution Options
- Formal Grievance Process
- Informal Resolution
- Formal Complaint Dismissal
Informal Resolutions

1. **It's an alternative resolution option** once a formal complaint is filed.
   - Available as an option at any time prior to reaching a determination regarding responsibility.
   - Doesn't involve a full investigation & adjudication.
   - May be facilitated through mediation (as an example).
   - Informal Resolution Process must include:
     - (a) Written notice of allegations, (b) Requirements of the informal process, & (c) Any consequences resulting from participating in an informal process (e.g. record keeping).

Informal Resolutions (Cont.)

2. **Not permitted** as a resolution option “under Title IX” when an employee respondent is alleged of “sexual harassment” by a student complainant.

3. Examples of other non-applicable reasons* due to seriousness and/or pattern of allegations:
   - Sexual Harassment cases
   - Sexual Violence cases
   - Dating or Domestic Violence cases
   - Stalking cases
   - The same respondent has previous informal resolution agreements

* Check the institution’s Sexual Misconduct Policy for specific provisions
• Be **consistent**
• Refer to **case precedent**
  (e.g. similar facts or elements)
• Follow your **policy** and **process**
Resolution Flowchart

A Report to TIXC

*Can be submitted by anyone: Complainant, witness, third-party, employee, etc.*

Formal Complaint

Submitted/Signed by **CP**

Submitted/Signed by **TIXC**

Written Notice of Formal Complaint

Supportive Measures

Rights & Options

Notice of Grievance Process

Resolution Options

Formal Grievance Process

Informal Resolution

Formal Complaint Dismissal

Crisis/Threat Continuum

**LEVEL OF CONCERN**

**LOW**
- 1st time seeing a concern
- Makes you or others uncomfortable but nothing specific
- No direct threats made

**MEDIUM**
- Not the first time seeing a concern
- Continuation (persistence) of low-level behaviors
- Threat implied or issued in a vague manner
- Threat through verbal or electronic medium
- Quick change in disposition or behavior

**HIGH**
- Any serious incidents
- Multiple incidents in short time frame
- Multiple concerns (pervasive) or escalating from the “low” to “moderate” continuum
- Access to or potential to access weapons
- Clear direct and specific threat and/or plan

**EXAMPLES OF BEHAVIORS**

**LOW**
- Excessive eye rolling
- Constant interruptions
- Annoying behaviors
- Crying, sad, angry
- Apathy, lack of energy
- Delayed responses
- Distracted or difficulty concentrating
- Impulsive

**MEDIUM**
- Interference in your or other’s learning or work duties
- Seeking “revenge”
- “You’ll be sorry” or “You’ll pay for this”
- Disrespectful, rude
- “You are incompetent & stupid”
- “I don’t care if I live anymore” or “No one will miss me”
- Feelings of hopelessness

**HIGH**
- Threatening and posturing in intimidating manner
- Violent statements
- Hate speech
- Physically violent

Adapted from Student Emergency Services & the Behavior Concerns Advice Line (BCAI), UT Austin
Most Critical Warning Signs

1. Direct communicated threat?
2. Plans, tools, weapons, and/or materials to carry out physical harm?
3. Harbors violence fantasies?
4. A timeframe or action plan created?
5. Fixations and/or focused on a specific target?
6. Grudges or resentments?
7. The specific target has been described negatively in writing by the person of concern?
8. “Leakage” concerning a potential action plan?
9. Current suicidal thoughts, ideations, and/or plan to die?
10. Talks about being persecuted or treated unjustly?
11. Engaged in “last acts” behaviors?
12. Confused, odd, or troubling thoughts; may hear voices or see things that are not there?

Purpose: Understand, contextualize, assess, examine, qualify... macro-analysis...

What is the level of concern/threat?

Source: SIVRA-35, NaBITA

Transient vs. Substantive Threats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transient Threat</th>
<th>Substantive Threat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statements that do not express a lasting intent to harm themselves or someone else.</td>
<td>Statements that express a <strong>credible</strong> and/or continuing threat to harm themselves or someone else.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure of speech or short-term feelings.</td>
<td>Impulsive, reactive, emotional. Impaired emotional recognition. Exhibits lack of control.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Special Considerations: Sexual Violence, IPV, & Stalking Cases

Common Considerations:
- Indicators of power & control?
- Presence or threat of a weapon?
- History of dating or domestic violence?
- Aggressive or hostile body language?
- Pre-existing protective orders or “no contact” directives?
- Comparative extent of injury (if both are injured)?
- Property damage?
- Elements of fear?
Consent Definition

A voluntary, mutually understandable agreement that clearly indicates a willingness to engage in each instance of sexual activity. Consent to one act does not imply consent to another. Consent to engage in sexual activity with one person does not imply consent to engage in sexual activity with another. Consent can be withdrawn at any time. Any expression of an unwillingness to engage in any instance of sexual activity establishes a presumptive lack of consent.

Consent is not effective if it results from: (a) the use of physical force, (b) a threat of physical force, (c) intimidation, (d) coercion, (e) incapacitation or (f) any other factor that would eliminate an individual's ability to exercise his or her own free will to choose whether or not to have sexual activity.

A current or previous dating or sexual relationship, by itself, is not sufficient to constitute consent. Even in the context of a relationship, there must be a voluntary, mutually understandable agreement that clearly indicates a willingness to engage in each instance of sexual activity.

Any Predatory Behaviors? Power & Control?

Consent is not effective if:
- Physical force;
- Threat of physical force;
- Intimidation;
- Coercion; or
- Incapacitation
Definition of “Intimidation”

Unlawfully placing another person in reasonable fear of bodily harm through the use of threatening words and/or conduct, but without displaying a weapon or actual physical force.

Source: UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2020)

Definition of “Coercion”

Use of pressure to compel another person to initiate or continue a sexual activity against the person’s will.

Examples: psychological/emotional pressure, physical or emotional threats, intimidation, manipulation, or blackmail.

Source: UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2020)
Examples of Predatory Behaviors

- Tests the boundaries of the prospective victim.
- Uses grooming tactics: Isolation of the prospective victim, trust building, gift giving, have “secrets” between the perpetrator & victim.
- Plans and premeditates assaults.
- Uses “psychological” weapons – power, control, manipulation, threats.
- Uses alcohol and/or drugs as a weapon to incapacitate a prospective victim.

Note: “Threats” may not be threats of violence

Has the RP:
- Prevented you from talking to others such as family or friends?
- Listened to your private phone calls or read your email?
- Acted jealous?
- Humiliated you at home or in public?
- Broken your personal belongings?
- Behaved violently or aggressively in public?
- Been arrested in the past for violence?

Assessing Danger Example Questions
Has the RP:
- Have access to a weapon, and/or threatened to use a weapon?
- Threatened to harm or kidnap your children?
- Threatened to kill you, themselves, or others?
- Harmed your pet(s)?
- Been abusing alcohol or drugs?
- Stalked or followed you?
- Forced you or your children to flee in the past?

1. Individualized safety & risk analysis: Determines that immediate threat to the physical health & safety of any student or other individual arising from the allegations justifies the respondent’s removal from the education program or activity.
   - Notice & opportunity to challenge the decision immediately following the removal.
   - Rights under ADA & Section 504 still apply.
2. Doesn’t preclude an institution from placing non-student employee respondents on administrative leave during the pendency of the grievance process.
Bottom Line for Emergency Removals

- Establish & maintain a communication plan to key partners:
  - BIT;
  - Dean of Students; or equivalent
- Know who is making the key decisions for Emergency Removals
- Be consistent with communication & decision-making
- Refer to case precedent (e.g. similar fact or elements)
- Follow your policy and process

Case Mgt: Closing Steps of the Investigation
Advisor Considerations

- Is an advisor of choice involved?
- Access to the related evidence & the finalized investigation report (closing steps of the investigation).
- Consider providing an advisor, if an advisor of choice has not been involved.

Evidence Pyramid

- Relevant evidence
- Evidence included in the Investigation Report
- Evidence directly related to the allegations
- Evidence provided to the parties & advisors for inspection & response
Access to Evidence

Equal opportunity to both parties (& the advisors) to **inspect & review any evidence obtained** that is directly related to the allegations in the formal complaint.

Access to Evidence: When?

- Upon request from a CP, RP, or an Advisor?
- At the end of the investigation?
Access to Evidence (Cont.)

Send each party (& the advisors*) the applicable evidence:

- In hard copy or electronic format
- At least 10 days to inspect, review, & respond to the evidence
- All responses to the evidence must be submitted in writing to the investigator(s)

* Advisor of choice; or the provided advisor by the institution (If applicable)

Access to Evidence (Cont.)

The investigators will consider all timely responses submitted by the parties **prior** to completing the investigation report.
Send each party (& the advisors*) the completed investigation report:

- At least 10 days prior to the scheduled hearing
  - Why? Opportunity for the parties to inspect, review, & respond to the investigation report (at the hearing)
- A copy of the investigation report to the TIXC and hearing officer assigned.

* Advisor of choice; or the provided advisor by the institution (if applicable)
### Formal Grievance Process Flowchart (Zoomed-In)

**Title IX/Sexual Harassment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formal Complaint/Notice Issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Gathering Evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Witness Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Investigation Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjudication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Live Hearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Written Determination Issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Remedies/Sanctions (if any)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option to Appeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Procedural Irregularity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- New Evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Bias/Conflict of Interest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Transition to Adjudication

- Transition process to the adjudication office? Referral?
- An administrative coordinator for the adjudication intake, scheduling, organizing, & communicating the next steps (e.g. hearing) to all participants.
**Title IX/Sexual Harassment**

- Notice
- Investigation *(No determination)*
  - Both parties have access to all evidence related to the allegation(s) & ability to comment
- No Administrative Disposition
  - Hearing Required

**Non-Sexual Harassment**

- Notice
- Investigation *(Preliminary determination)*
  - Both parties have access to all evidence related to the allegation(s) & ability to comment
- Administrative Disposition or Hearing Options

**Adjudication Flowchart (Zoomed-In)**

1. Investigation Report Referral
2. Adjudication Intake
3. Administrative Disposition Option? (if applicable)
4. Live Hearing
   - Live Testimony & Cross-Examination of Witnesses
   - Written Determination Issued
   - Remedies/Sanctions (if any)
5. Option to Appeal
   - Procedural Irregularity
   - New Evidence
   - Bias/Conflict of Interest
Documentation & Record Keeping

• Documentation Checklists & Forms
• Intake & Initial Assessments
• Case Management Timelines & Decisions
• Contact & Communications
• Notices, Letters, Dismissals, & Reports
• Data Systems for Electronic & Hard Copy Records

Q & A
## Contact Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Krista Anderson</th>
<th>Sean Flammer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systemwide Title IX Coordinator</td>
<td>Assistant General Counsel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Systemwide Compliance</td>
<td>Office of General Counsel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT System (Austin, TX)</td>
<td>UT System (Austin, TX)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: 512-664-9050</td>
<td>Phone: 512-579-5106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:kranderson@utsystem.edu">kranderson@utsystem.edu</a></td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:sflammer@utsystem.edu">sflammer@utsystem.edu</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>