

Large Eddy Simulation of Wing Tip Vortex in the Near Field

Li Jiang Jiangang Cai Chaoqun Liu

Technical Report 2007-13

http://www.uta.edu/math/preprint/

Large Eddy Simulation of Wing Tip Vortex in the Near Field

Li Jiang Jiangang Cai Chaoqun Liu

Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, Texas

September 2007

Abstract

Large eddy simulation with filtered-structure-function subgrid model and implicit large eddy simulation (ILES without explicit subgrid model) using high-order accuracy and high resolution compact scheme have been performed on the tip vortex shedding from a rectangular half-wing with a NACA 0012 airfoil section and a rounded wing tip. The formation of the tip vortex and its initial development in the boundary layer and the near field wake are investigated and analyzed in detail. The physics, why the tip vortex, which is originally turbulent in the boundary layer, is re-laminarized and becomes stable and laminar rapidly after shedding in the near field, is revealed by this simulation. The computation also shows the widely used second order subgrid model is not consistent to six-order compact scheme and would degenerate the six-order LES results to second order. Therefore, high order schemes, gird refinement and six order subgrid models are critical to LES approaches.

1. Introduction

Tip vortices are generated at the tip of lifting surfaces where fluid flows from the high-pressure side to the low-pressure side. Tip vortices have significant effects on many practical engineering problems. Wing tip vortices can result in a decrease in lift and an increase in drag. Trailing vortices generated at the wing tip can affect the landing separation distances for aircraft. The propeller tip-vortex cavitation on a submerged submarine can cause the serious noise problem. The blade/vortex interaction on helicopter blades can impact the blades performances and cause undesirable noise and vibration. The study of tip vortices is not only of great engineering importance but also of great scientific interest and challenge. Tip vortex flow is a very complicated flow. The tip vortex system originated from the complex three-dimensional separated flow is highly unsteady and turbulent. The interactions between the primary and the secondary vortices, the vortices and the separated shear layer, and that between the system of vortices and the wakes occur simultaneously in the flow field.

Many experimental and numerical investigations of tip vortex dynamics have been conducted in the past decades. Since noise radiation from flap side edges is known to be a major component of non-propulsive, i.e., airframe-generated noise, a lot of efforts have been made under NASA's Advanced Subsonic Technology Program (Macaraeg, 1998). Khorrami (Khorrami et al, 1999) analyzed five possible sources which cause the flow unsteadiness and then serious noises: 1) large-scale flow fluctuation supported by the free-shear layer emanating from the flap bottom edge and spanning the entire flap chord, 2) large-scale flow fluctuations supported by the post-

merged vortex downstream of the mid-chord region, 3) convection of a turbulent boundary layer over the sharp edges at the flap side edge, giving rise to scattering and broadband sound radiation, 4) vortex merging, and 5) vortex breakdown. They believe the first two are most likely to be responsible for the bulk of the concentrated audible noise generation. A linear analysis of the shear layer instability has been made showing good agreement, in frequencies of the maximally amplified disturbance, with the directional, microphone array measurements performed in the QFF at NASA Langley Research Center. Further efforts to reduce the tip-vortex generated noise have been made by using a side-edge fence (Sloff et al, 2002) and porous flap-tip treatment (Chow et al, 2002). Choudhari (Choudhari et al, 2003) applied RANS code, CFL3D, with a porous flap tip model to successfully simulate the time average improvement. The simulation showed that flow communication via distributed leakage across the treatment surface leads to reduced flap loading near the side edge. The reduced flap loading results in a reduced severity of shear layer rollup adjacent to the side edge, a modified interaction between the side and top-edge vortices, and significantly weaker (more diffuse) vortex structures over the aft-chord region. The vortex structures are also slightly farther away from the side edge compared with the untreated case. Finally, the breakdown of the side edge vortex at higher flap deflections can also be eliminated by the porous treatment. However, their approach is the time averaged RANS. In order to get a deeper understanding of the physics, LES is necessary to be used to capture the flow details.

The physical behavior of a trailing vortex in the far filed downstream of the lifting body has been of the primary interest. By comparison, the evolution of tip vortices within a few chord lengths downstream of the wing trailing edge receives much less attention. In fact, the initial rollup of the wing tip vortex shows a more complex behavior. Better understanding of the formation, structure, strength and the initial development of the wing tip vortices may provide the guide for the tip vortex control. The present work is focused on the generation and the near field development of a wing tip vortex. In the experiment conducted by Chow et al (Chow et al 1997) on the rectangular half-wing model at a Reynolds number based on chord of 4.6x10⁶, the flow was found to be turbulent in the near field. However, the turbulence decayed quickly with streamwise distance. This was considered to be the result of the stabilizing effect of the nearly solid body rotation of the vortex-core mean flow. It was also found that the Reynolds shear stresses are not aligned with the mean strain rate, indicating that an isotropic-eddy-viscositybased prediction method which is used by most RANS and subgrid model can not fully model the turbulence in the vortex. Based on this experiment, Dacles-Mariani et al (Dacles-Mariani, et al, 1996) conducted the numerical simulations of the wingtip vortex flow in the near field region. The method of artificial compressibility was used to solve the three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. The convective and pressure terms are evaluated using fifth-order accurate flux-difference splitting based on Roe's method. Their results showed good agreement with the experiment data outside of the viscous vortex core. It was also found that the Baldwin-Barth and the Spalart-Allmaras one equation turbulence models can not accurately predict tip vortex. An ad-hoc modification of the production term was needed. It was thought that the underprediction of the flow quantities in the core region was caused by turbulence modeling errors. Fleig and Arakawa (Fleig and Arakawa 2003) performed simulations of tip vortex generated by a finite blade with NACA0012 section at Reynolds numbers of 4.06x10⁵ and 1.2x10⁶. Smagorinsky eddy viscosity model and up to 300 million grid points were used in the simulations. The solver is based on the second-order Beam-Warming approach and a third-order

finite-difference upwind scheme for spatial derivatives. Complex flow features associated with the tip vortex were compared with experimental measurements for the lower Reynolds number cases. It was found that the tip vortex constitutes a major noise source. Youssef et al (Youssef et al 1997) conducted the temporal large-eddy simulation to study the development of large scale structures in the wake and their interaction with the tip vortex. A model was derived to provide an approximate velocity field in a vertical plane immediately after the trailing edge of a rectangular wing. This velocity field was used as the initial condition for the temporal largeeddy simulations. A modified MacCormack scheme which is explicit second order in time and fourth order in space for the convective terms was used to solve the compressible LES equations. Smagorinsky model was used and the Reynolds number based on the chord length is 5.3×10^5 . It was found that the classical sinuous mode of 2D wakes prevails in the spiral wake connecting the vortex core to the nominally 2D wake in the middle of the wing. The large scale structures of the spiral wake cause the formation of undulations on the core. In the studies by Labbe and Sagaut (Labbe and Sagaut 2003), the simulations of the evolution of the near field wake vortex behind a A300 Airbus model in high-lift configuration was carried out by solving the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations for an incompressible fluid with a second order accurate scheme in time and space. The Reynolds number based on the chord length is 1.5×10^5 . This simulation focused on a portion of the wake. The inlet plane was located at one wingspan behind the trailing edge of the wing. The inlet boundary condition was provided by experiment data. As the turbulent motion has only negligible effects on the vertical and spanwise velocity components of wake vortices, no turbulence model was implemented. This study numerically reproduced the unsteady properties of the vortices and compared the different frequencies with those measured experimentally. The results showed that the main vortex is subject to a global motion which frequencies are in the same range as those obtained experimentally.

Near field tip vortex flow is a complex turbulent flow dominated by rotation. The flow structures have large range of scales and both velocity and pressure have large gradients especially in the near field at high Reynolds numbers. The flow complexity requires that the numerical methods used to study tip vortices must have high-order accuracy and high resolution. Compact schemes have spectral-like resolution and can achieve high-order accuracy with compact stencils. Compact schemes have been widely used in the simulations of complex flows, especially in the direct numerical simulations of turbulent flows. The objective of this work is to use the LES and implicit LES with high order accuracy and high resolution to investigate the formation and the near field evolution of a wing tip vortex at high Reynolds number. The code used in this study is based on a sixth-order compact finite difference scheme (Lele, 1992). An outline of the paper is as follows. A brief introduction of the governing equations and the numerical method is given in Section 2. In Section 3, the flow configuration and computational parameters are described. Results and discussions are presented in Sections 4. Concluding remarks are given in Section 5.

2. Governing equations and numerical methods

The governing equations solved are the conservation form of three-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes equations in body-fitted coordinates. The LU-SGS implicit solver (Yoon, 1992) scheme based on the second-order backward Euler algorithm is used for the time advancing. Spatial derivatives are calculated using the sixth-order centered compact finite differencing (Lele, 1992) in the interior of the domain, together with fourth-order closures at points immediately adjacent to the boundary and third-order closures at the boundary points. Coordinate transformation metrics are also evaluated using the same compact scheme by an approach that satisfies the geometric conservation law numerically (Gaitonde & Visbal, 1999). High order compact filtering (Lele, 1992) is used at regular intervals to suppress the numerical oscillation associated with the high-order centered compact scheme. Parallel computation based on the Message Passing Interface (MPI) is utilized to improve the performance of the code. The parallel computation is combined with the domain decomposition method. The computational domain is divided into n equal-sized subdomains along the ξ direction. Readers may refer to our early work (Shan et al., 2000) for more details of the parallel algorithm. The details of the numerical method and the numerical verification and applications of the computer code can be found in our previous work (Jiang et al., 1999a, Shan et al, 2000, Shan et al, 2005). For the large eddy simulations, the Filtered-Structure-Function LES model (Ducros, et al, 1996) is used.

3. Flow Configuration and Computational Parameters

The flow configuration in this simulation is based on the wing tip experiment conducted by Chow et al (Chow, et al. 1997). The computational domain includes a rectangular half-wing with a NACA 0012 airfoil section, a rounded wing tip and the surrounding boundaries. The wing has an aspect ratio of 0.75. The angle of attack is 10 degrees. The upstream boundary is three chord lengths away from the leading edge of the wing. The upper and lower boundaries are four chord lengths from the wing surface. The outflow boundary is located at 5 chord lengths downstream. The free-stream velocity U_{∞} , the free-stream pressure p_{∞} , the free-stream temperature T_{∞} and the chord length of the airfoil c are selected as the reference parameters for nondimensionalization.

The flow conditions and grid parameters are summarized in Table 1. The Reynolds number based on the free-stream velocity and the chord length is 4.6×10^6 . In the simulation, the freestream Mach number is set to 0.2. The grid layout is shown in Figure 1. Axes x, y and z are along the chord-wise direction, the spanwise direction and the normal direction. ξ , η and ζ are computational coordinates. The numbers of grid points in ξ , η and ζ directions are 1024, 160 and 160, respectively. The C-type grid is produced in the (x,z) plane using the elliptic grid generation method (Spekreuse, 1995). In the spanwise direction, the grids are clustered around the wing tip. In the wall normal direction, the grids are clustered near the wing surface. The grid sizes in wall units shown in Table 1 are estimated based on a turbulent boundary layer flow with the same Reynolds number. To implement the parallel computation, the computational domain is divided evenly in ξ direction. In this simulation, 64 processors are used.

Table 1. Computational parameters							
Re _c	Ма	$N_{\xi} \times N_{\eta} \times N_{\zeta}$	Δx^{+}_{\min}	Δy^{+}_{\min}	Δz^{+}_{\min}		
4.6×10^{6}	0.2	1024×160×160	17.5	35	2.6		

	Table 1.	Computational	parameters
--	----------	---------------	------------



Figure 1. Grid distribution



Figure 2. Distribution of overlapping grids around the rounded wingtip

The nonreflecting boundary conditions proposed by Poinsot and Lele (Poinsot & Lele, 1992) and further developed by Jiang et al (Jiang, et al, 1999) for curvilinear coordinates are imposed at the upstream, far field and outflow boundaries. Due to the subsonic nature of the flow, the uniform free-stream velocities and temperature are prescribed at the upstream boundary, while the density is determined by the nonreflecting boundary conditions. This upstream boundary condition is different from that used in the simulation conducted by Dacles-Mariani (Dacles-Mariani, et al 1996), in which the inflow velocity profiles are prescribed using experimental values. At the outflow boundary, the perfectly nonreflecting boundary conditions are used on the wing surface. To avoid the effect of the low order boundary scheme, a special treatment is implemented at the boundaries around the wing tip. The grid distribution around the wing tip in y-z plane is plotted in Figure 2. The overlapping region includes five grid points in η direction. This treatment ensures the sixth-order accuracy at the tip boundaries.

It is worth to mention that in the experiment (Chow, et al. 1997), the wing was mounted inside the wind tunnel and the incoming flow is turbulent. In addition, a strip of roughness elements was used to fix the transition which is caused by separations near the leading edge and ensure that the boundary layers on the suction side were turbulent and kept attached downstream of the strip. Due to the limitation the computer resources, we had to make following changes to the flow conditions: 1) in the simulation, the symmetry boundary condition is used at the wing root instead of using the solid plate wall boundary conditions; 2) The incoming flow is assumed to be a uniform free-stream flow; 3) the wing surface is smooth and no early transition is excited. We believe these changes could make the comparison between our ILES results and experiment difficult, but would not prevent the ILES results from revealing the physics of the tip vortex formation and it near filed development.

4. ILES Results and Discussions

A uniform flow field is used as the initial condition for the simulation. The non-dimensional time step based on the frees-stream velocity and the wing chord length is 4.17×10^{-5} c/U_{∞}. The corresponding CFL number is 396. The non-dimensional time has reached 4.2 c/U_{∞} when the

data is taken for analysis. It takes about 2 c/U_{∞} for the flow field of the region of interest(1.5c downstream from the trailing edge) to be fully developed.

4.1 Instantaneous flow field

Instantaneous data are picked at t= $3.15c/U_{\infty}$ when the flow field is fully developed. Figure 3 shows the instantaneous field of the axial vorticity. Figure 3(a) is the perspective view of the isosurface of axial vorticity, which originates from the wing tip in the form of small vortical structures and evolves into a smooth vortex tube in the further downstream wake. On the suction side of the wing, the flow near the wing tip is highly three-dimensional turbulent. Small vortical structures are clearly seen inside and around the tip vortex. Near the trailing edge, spiral wake surrounding the tip vortex is formed as the wake is skewed and laterally stretched and curved by the rotating velocity field associated with the vortex. In the further downstream region (about one chord length from the trailing edge), the wing tip vortex is stabilized, where the small vortical structures are not visible around the primary tip vortex. Figure 3(b) shows the contours of axial vorticity in a vertical plane at *y*=0.72 which approximately intercepts with the tip vortex core. The evolution of the vortical structures inside and around the tip vortex can be seen clearly on the plane.



Figure 3. Instantaneous (t= $3.15c/U_{\infty}$) field of axial vorticity. (a) Iso-surface of vorticity component ω_x =3; (b) Contours of vorticity component ω_x in the x-z plane at y=0.72

The distributions of the y- and z- components of the vorticity are plotted in Figure 4 and Figure 5 respectively. In Figure 4(a), the iso-surfaces of positive and negative y- component vorticity are parallel to each other in a vertical layout, and both extend along the axis of the wing tip vortex in the wake, representing a typical spiral motion of velocity field. Similar observation can be found in Figure 5(a), where the iso-surfaces corresponding to the positive and the negative z-component of vorticity are parallel to each other in a horizontal layout. The evolution of the y-and z- components of the vorticity on the vertical plane at y=0.72 is shown in Figure 4(b) and Figure 5(b).



Figure 4. Instantaneous (t= $3.15c/U_{\infty}$) field of spanwise vorticity. (a) Iso-surface of vorticity component $\omega_v = \pm 3$; (b) Contours of vorticity component ω_v in the x-z plane at y=0.72



Figure 5. Instantaneous (t= $3.15c/U_{\infty}$) field of vorticity in z direction. (a) Iso-surface of vorticity component $\omega_z = \pm 3$; (b) Contours of vorticity component ω_z in the x-z plane at y=0.72

Figure 6 shows the pressure contours and velocity vectors on the cross-sections at different streamwise locations. At x/c=0.90, the vortex structures are highly unsteady. The shear layer separates from the rounded wing tip and rolls up generating the primary vortex. The core area of the primary vortex is very unstable and the outer edge of the primary vortex is not smooth. Near the junction of the wing and the rounded tip, the secondary vortex is induced by the primary vortex and the secondary vortex rotates in the opposite direction of the primary vortex, while the vorticity of small vortices shedding from wing tip shear layer has the same sign as the primary vortex. At x/c=0.995, on the cross-section that is very close to the trailing edge, the center of the primary vortex moves upward away from the surface of the wing and the size of vortex core grows.

The secondary vortex also grows as it rolls up and merges into the primary vortex and brings unsteadiness and instability into the core of the primary vortex. The interaction between the primary and the secondary vortices is quite intensive, thus generates small vortical structures in the core area. At x/c=1.06, on the cross-section that locates at the immediate down-stream of the trailing edge, due to the absence of solid wall, there is no newly generated secondary vortices. The visible secondary vortical structures on this cross-section are actually the secondary vortices shed from the wing surface at the upstream location and convected downstream. As a matter of fact, the secondary vortex becomes weaker as they are traveling downstream, and thus introduces less disturbance to the core of the primary vortex. On this cross-section, the primary vortex is able to maintain an unbroken core all the time. Further downstream from the trailing edge of the wing, on the cross-section at x/c=1.125, the secondary vortex is barely seen because they are significantly dissipated as they are convected downstream. On the cross-sections located on the downstream of the trailing edge, the shear layer associated with the wing tip also disappears. Without the secondary vortex and the shear layer, no more disturbances are fed into the primary vortex. Therefore, the primary vortex is stabilized and is able to maintain a shape of a smooth regular circle. Sometimes, the trailing edge wake (from the lower left corner of the plot), being entrained by the primary vortex, rolls up and is entangled with the primary vortex. When this happens, the shape and the position of the vortex core are affected. As one goes to further downstream locations, the primary vortex becomes more stable.





Figure 6. Instantaneous (t= $3.15c/U_{\infty}$) pressure contours and velocity vectors in the cross planes at different streamwise locations (a) x/c=0.9; (b) x/c=0.995; (c) x/c=1.06; (d) x/c=1.125; (e) x/c=1.25; (f) x/c=1.45

Figure 7 shows the contours of the instantaneous streamwise vorticity ω_x on cross-sections at different stremwise locations. At the mid-chord location x/c=0.606, the separated shear layer is very unstable, as some small vortical structures are continuously shedding from the shear layer and reattaching to the suction surface. At a further downstream location, the rolling up of the separated shear layer produces the primary vortex (red color) between the shear layer and the wing surface. The counter-rotating vortical structures shown in blue color is the secondary vortex. The highly unstable shear layer and the interaction between the primary and the secondary vortex, which now has a very unstable area in the center of the vortex – in the form of a broken core with many small vortical structures. At downstream of the trailing edge, in the absence of wall surface, without additional disturbance/energy input from the secondary vortex and shear layer, the primary vortex core becomes more stable, and small structures dissipate quickly.





Figure 8. Contours of instantaneous (t= 3.15c/U_∞) axial velocity in cross planes at different locations

The large favorable axial pressure gradient in the core of the primary vortex accelerates the incoming fluid to produce high axial velocity. The instantaneous axial velocity can reach as high as $2U_{\infty}$, as shown by Figure 8, which displays the contours of the instantaneous axial velocity on cross-sections at different streamwise locations. Strong axial flow occurs on cross-sections over the wing. In the further downstream of the wake, the axial velocity decays rapidly.

The time history of the instantaneous velocity reveals more features of the flow field, as those in Figure 9, which shows the time history of axial velocity at the streamwise locations ranging from 0.9c to 1.452c inside the vortex core. At these two stations of x/c=0.9 and 0.99 located above the wing surface on the suction side, the signal of velocity fluctuation is highly random and has a broadband spectrum. From the trailing edge to further downstream, fluctuation amplitudes become smaller and high frequency fluctuation gradually disappear.





Figure 9. Time history of axial velocity at different streamwise locations inside the vortex core

Figure 10 shows the time history of cross-flow velocity at the location inside the vortex core. In comparison with the time history of axial velocity, the evolution of the cross-flow velocity shows the same trend. The flow inside the core is highly unsteady and random with a broadband spectrum at x/c=0.9 and 0.99. At further downstream locations in the wake region, the cross-flow velocity fluctuations have much lower frequency and smaller amplitude. This result indicates that the flow inside the vortex core is becoming more stable and re-laminarized while traveling downstream.



Figure 10. Time history of cross-flow velocity magnitude $(v^2+w^2)^{1/2}$ at different streamwise locations inside the vortex core

4.2 Mean flow field

The mean flow field is obtained from a time-averaging process. Time averaging is take from t= $2c/U_{\infty}$ to t= $4.2c/U_{\infty}$ Figure 11 shows contours of the time averaged axial vorticity in cross planes at different streamwise locations. The location and the size of the primary vortex core can be seen clearly. Over the wing surface, the time averaged vortex core is deformed and stretched with an irregular edge. In the contrast, the shape of the vortex core becomes more regular in the wake region, and becomes even more circular in the further downstream area.



Figure 11. Contours of mean axial vorticity in cross planes at different locations



Figure 12. Distribution of axial vorticity along a horizontal line through vortex core

In Figure 12, the time averaged profiles of the axial vorticity along a horizontal centerline at different streamwise locations clearly show the negative value of vorticity near wingtip on the first five profiles, which are either located over the wing surface or close to the trailing edge, indicating the existence of the secondary vortex with an opposite direction of rotation of the primary vortex. The vorticity strength is kept at the same level in the immediate wake region.

Figure 13 shows the contours of mean (time averaged) pressure on the cross-sections at different streamwise locations. On each cross-section, a region with a low mean pressure usually reflects the location of the vortex core. At x/c=0.9, the low-pressure zone is attached to the wing surface. On the downstream cross-sections, e.g., at $x/c=1.06\sim1.452$, the location of the mean vortex core corresponding to the low-pressure area moves upward and toward the symmetric plane. The pressure coefficient profiles along the center lines of the vortex core are depicted in Figure 14. The static pressure drops rapidly above the surface, but this process slows down in the wake region.







Figure 14. Distribution of pressure coefficient along a horizontal line through vortex core

The distribution of pressure in the core area of the primary vortex indicates the favorable axial pressure gradient, which accelerates the axial velocity and produce the axial velocity surplus. Figure 15 shows the contours of the mean axial velocity on cross-sections at different streamwise locations. The time averaged axial velocity can reach as high as $1.2U_{\infty}$ near the trailing edge. Downstream of the trailing edge, the favorable axial pressure gradient decreases and disappears after x/c=1.125. The axial velocity surplus reduces accordingly.



Figure 15. Contours of mean axial velocity on cross-sections at different streamwise locations

Figure 16. Contours of mean cross-flow velocity magnitude in cross-sections at different axial locations

The contours of the magnitude of mean cross-flow velocity on cross-sections at different axial locations are shown in Figure 16. On cross-sections at x/c=0.803 and 0.9, high-speed cross-flow circumvents the wing tip. On the suction side of the wing, starting from the mid-chord, both the size of the high cross-flow region and the magnitude of the cross-flow velocity increase as x increases. After the primary tip vortex and secondary vortex have established, the size of the area with low cross-flow velocity becomes smaller, surrounded by cross-flow with relatively higher

speed. In the further downstream of the trailing edge, there is only one area with low cross-flow velocity corresponding to vortex core.



Figure 17. Distribution of velocity component in z direction along a horizontal line through vortex core

The locations of the vortex core centers are depicted in Figure 18. In x-y plane, the vortex center moves inboard inclined at nearly a constant angle with respect to the x-axis. The kink near the trailing edge is thought to be caused by the trailing edge effect. In x-z plane, the trajectory angle with respect to x axis is about 11 degree which is close to the attack angle (10 degree). This indicates that, in the near wake region, the vortex axis is nearly aligned with free stream flow direction.



Figure 18. Vortex core trajectories (a) y coordinate (b) z coordinate

This section shows that our ILES results still have some discrepancies from Chow's experiment. As mentioned in section 3 that there are some differences of flow conditions specified by the present simulation and the experiment, and thus we can not make quantitative comparison with experimental results by the current simulation. Since no early transition is excited in the simulation, instability waves and separations are still observed on the suction side in the rear part of the wing. These structures are thought to reduce the strength of the tip vortex. The symmetry condition at the wing root changes the load distribution on the wing surface and may also contribute to such an effect.

4.3 Turbulence Character

The contours of the Root-Mean-Squared (RMS) of the axial velocity fluctuation u' on crosssections at different streamwise locations are shown in Figure 19. On the cross-sections that intersect with the wing, the peak value of velocity fluctuation u' appears at those locations where the shear layer separates from the surface of wing tip. The axial velocity fluctuation reaches its maximum value of $0.32U_{\infty}$ near the trailing edge. The high level fluctuations are wrapped up into the vortex core and convected downstream. On the cross-sections located in the wake area, the peak value of velocity fluctuation u' appears in the center of the vortex core. In the streamwise direction, the fluctuation level decreases rapidly in the further downstream of the wake. The profiles of u'_{rms} along a line that intersects with the vortex core with z=constant are shown in Figure 20. In the wake, the level of the axial velocity fluctuation decreases as x increases.



different streamwise locations

Figure 20. Distribution of u'_{rms} along a horizontal line through vortex core

Figure 21 shows the contours of velocity fluctuation v'_{rms} on the cross-sections at different streamwise locations. On cross-sections that intersect with the wing, the velocity fluctuation v'_{rms} reaches its peak value of $0.42U_{\infty}$ upstream the trailing edge of the wing. On the cross-sections located in the wake near the trailing edge (at x/c=1.06), strong interactions between wake and the primary vortex is obvious. The wake/vortex interaction becomes much weaker as the vortex core

moves upward and away from the wake in the further downstream. In Figure 22, the profiles of v'_{rms} along a constant-z line that cuts through the vortex core are plotted as functions of y. Figure 22 shows that the velocity fluctuation v'_{rms} along the spanwise direction decreases monotonically as x increases, except at x/c=1.25, where the increase of the fluctuation level can be caused by the interaction between the primary vortex and the wake near the trailing edge.



Figure 21. Contours of v'_{rms} on cross-sections at different streamwise locations

Figure 22. Distribution of v'rms along a horizontal line through vortex core

Figure 23 shows the contours of the velocity fluctuation w'_{rms} on cross-sections at different streamwise locations. On cross-sections that intersect with the wing, the velocity fluctuation reaches its peak value of $0.28U_{\infty}$ near the trailing edge of the wing. The contours of w'_{rms} on cross-sections located in the wake near the trailing edge show strong interactions between wake and the primary vortex. The wake/vortex interaction becomes weaker in the further downstream of the wake. The profiles of w'_{rms} along a constant-z line that cuts through vortex core are plotted as functions of y in Figure 24.



Figure 23. Contours of w'_{rms} on cross-sections at different stremwise locations

Figure 24. Distribution of w'_{rms} along a horizontal line through vortex core

The contours of the components of Reynolds stress u'v', u'w', and v'w' are plotted on crosssections with different streamwise locations in Figure 25, Figure 27, and Figure 29, respectively. Figure 26, Figure 28, and Figure 30 show the profiles of the three components of the Reynolds stress along a line with constant z through vortex core as functions of y. The contours on the cross-sections shown in Figure 25 indicate that the separated shear layer has maximum Reynolds shear stress u'v'. The two-lobe structure identified by opposite sign of Reynolds shear stress u'v'in the vortex core can be seen at cross-sections over the wing surface and in the wake. Similar observation has also been found by the experiment (Chow, et al, 1997). In the wake of the wing, the Reynolds shear stress u'v' decreases rapidly along the axial direction. In Figure 29, the contours on the cross-section also indicate that the separated shear layer has the maximum Reynolds shear stress u'w'. Again, the two-lobe structure identified by the opposite sign of u'w'in the vortex core can be seen at cross-sections over the wing surface and in the wake. The u'w' component of the Reynolds stress decreases rapidly along the axial direction in the wake. In Figure 29, the contours of the v'w' show a four-leaf clove pattern which was also observed in the experiment (Chow, et al, 1997). This pattern becomes more clear in the wake where the distortion effect of the shear layer vanishes. The distribution of v'w' in the plot indicates that strong turbulent activity appears in the center of the vortex core over the wing surface. The Reynolds stress v'w' also decays along the axial direction in the wake



Figure 25. Contours of Reynolds shear stress component u'v' on cross-sections at different streamwise locations



Figure 26. Profiles of Reynolds shear stress u'v' along a horizontal line through vortex core



Figure 27. Contours of Reynolds shear stress component u'w' on cross-sections at different streamwise locations



Figure 28. Profiles of Reynolds shear stress u'w' along a horizontal line through vortex core



Figure 29. Contours of Reynolds shear stress component v'w' on cross-sections at different streamwise locations



Figure 30. Profiles of Reynolds shear stress v'w' along a horizontal line through vortex core

The contours of means strain rate $-\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)$ is displayed in Figure 31. Compared with the

corresponding Reynolds shear stress shown in Figure 29, the Reynold stress is not aligned with the mean strain rate, which is consistent with the finding in Chow's experiment. This indicates the strong anisotropy in the vortex.





Figure 32 shows the contours of turbulence kinetic energy on cross-sections at different streamwise locations. The profiles of turbulence kinetic energy along a horizontal line through vortex core are plotted as functions of y in Figure 33. The maximum turbulence kinetic energy occurs in the separated shear layer near the wing tip, as shown in Figure 32. After the primary vortex is formed, the peak turbulence kinetic energy appears at the center of the vortex core. In the wake, the turbulence kinetic energy decreases rapidly along the axial direction. In Figure 33, on the profiles corresponding to the cross-sections at x/c=1.06 and 1.125, the second peak reflects the wake effect.



Figure 32. Contours of turbulence kinetic energy on cross-sections at different streamwise locations



Figure 33. Profiles of turbulence kinetic energy along a horizontal line through vortex core

The distribution of pressure perturbation reveals the propagation of acoustic waves away from the wing tip, as shown in Figure 34 where the contours of RMS of pressure fluctuation are plotted on cross-sections with different streamwise locations. The pressure perturbations thus the acoustic waves are closely related to the fluctuations in the tip vortex and the separated turbulent shear layer. The formation of the primary tip vortex is considered as a major source of the noise associated with the acoustic waves.



Figure 34. Contours of RMS of pressure fluctuation

5. LES Results and Discussions

Large Eddy Simulations with filtered-structure function subgrid model (Ducros, et al, 1996) on two sets of grids are also performed. The numbers of grid are $1024 \times 80 \times 144$ (around 12 millions) in coarse grid simulation and $1024 \times 160 \times 160$ (around 26 millions) in fine grid simulation. The fine grid is the same as the one used in ILES.

Figure 35 and Figure 36 show the pressure contours and velocity vectors on the cross-sections at different streamwise locations. Compared with the ILES result shown in Figure 6, in fine grid LES, primary and secondary votices have the similar features as those captured in ILES, while coarse grid result shows fewer small structures and the primary vortex is less deformed. The primary vortex dissipate more rapidly in coarse grid LES.







0.75

у

0.7

0







Figure 35. Instantaneous (t= $3.55c/U_{\infty}$) pressure contours and velocity vectors in the cross planes at different streamwise locations (a) x/c=0.9; (b) x/c=0.995; (c) x/c=1.06; (d) x/c=1.125; (e) x/c=1.25; (f) x/c=1.45. Fine grid LES.

(e)





Figure 36. Instantaneous (t= $3.75c/U_{\infty}$) pressure contours and velocity vectors in the cross planes at different streamwise locations (a) x/c=0.9; (b) x/c=0.995; (c) x/c=1.06; (d) x/c=1.125; (e) x/c=1.25; (f) x/c=1.45. Coarse grid LES.

Figure 37 shows the time history of axial velocity at the streamwise locations ranging from 0.9c to 1.452c inside the vortex core. Solid lines represent ILES result and dashed lines are from fine grid LES result. We can see that fine grid LES shows the similar broadband spectrum feature of the velocity fluctuations as that from ILES. As the fine grid LES starts from the intermediate solutions from ILES (at about t= $2.475c/U_{\infty}$), the solutions at the initial stage in LES match ILES solutions.





Figure 37. Time history of axial velocity at different streamwise locations inside the vortex core, comparison between ILES and LES. Solid lines: ILES; Dashed lines: fine grid LES.

The time averaged profiles of the axial vorticity along a horizontal centerline at different streamwise locations are shown in Figure 38. The comparison between ILES and LES shows that LES model has little effect on the mean flow quantity in the wake region downstream the trailing edge, while over the wing surface, the vorticity strength predicted by LES is stronger. This indicate that the grid resolution is high enough in the wake region so that LES model is not in effect, while over the suction surface where turbulence is more intense, the grid resolution in ILES is not high enough, thus the LES model takes effect. The comparison between the fine grid LES and coarse grid LES shows large difference in both near surface region and wake region, which indicates that the resolution of the coarse grid is poor in both regions.



(a) Comparison between ILES and LES (b) Comparison between fine and coarse LES Figure 38. Distribution of axial vorticity along a horizontal line through vortex core

Figure 39 shows contours of the time averaged axial vorticity in cross planes at different streamwise locations. It is obvious that because of the poor grid resolution, the primary vortex dissipates more rapidly in coarse grid LES. The vortex behavior predicted from the fine grid LES (a) shows the similar feature as that from ILES (Figure 11)



(a) fine grid LES (b) coarse grid LES Figure 39. Contours of mean axial vorticity in cross planes at different locations

The pressure coefficient profiles along the center lines of the vortex core, the contours of mean (time averaged) pressure on the cross-sections at different streamwise locations and Distribution of velocity component in z direction along a horizontal line through vortex core are shown in Figure 40, Figure 41 and Figure 42. ILES result and fine grid LES result are close to each other in the wake region. Coarse grid is too dissipative and not fine enough to resolve large gradient profiles.







(a) fine grid LES (b) coarse grid LES Figure 41. Contours of mean pressure in cross-sections at different streamwise locations



(a) Comparison between ILES and LES (b) Comparison between fine and coarse LES Figure 42. Distribution of velocity component in z direction along a horizontal line through vortex core

The comparisons of the components of Reynolds stress, turbulence kinetic energy, and mean strain rate between ILES and fine grid LES, fine grid LES and coarse grid LES are shown in Figure 43 through Figure 57. For most turbulent statistic quantities, larger differences between ILES and fine grid LES are observed over the wing surface where turbulence fluctuations are strong. In the wake region, two solutions show less difference except for Reynolds shear stress. These findings suggest that the grid resolution over the wing surface needs to be improved more than that in the wake region where turbulence fluctuations start to decay. Currently a finer grid ILES is ongoing. The result will be used to evaluate the solution from the fine grid LES and check the grid convergence property of ILES.



(a) Comparison between ILES and LES (b) Comparison between fine and coarse LES Figure 43. Distribution of u'_{rms} along a horizontal line through vortex core



(a) fine grid LES (b) coarse grid LES Figure 44. Contours of u'_{rms} on cross-sections at different streamwise locations



(a) Comparison between ILES and LES (b) Comparison between fine and coarse LES Figure 45. Distribution of v'rms along a horizontal line through vortex core



(a) fine grid LES (b) coarse grid LES Figure 46. Contours of v'_{rms} on cross-sections at different streamwise locations



(a) Comparison between ILES and LES (b) Comparison between fine and coarse LES Figure 47. Distribution of w'_{rms} along a horizontal line through vortex core



(a) fine grid LES (b) coarse grid LES Figure 48. Contours of w'_{rms} on cross-sections at different stremwise locations



(a) Comparison between ILES and LES(b) Comparison between fine and coarse LESFigure 49. Profiles of Reynolds shear stress *u'v'* along a horizontal line through vortex core



(a) fine grid LES

(b) coarse grid LES

Figure 50. Contours of Reynolds shear stress component u'v' on cross-sections at different streamwise locations



(a) Comparison between ILES and LES (b) Comparison between fine and coarse LES Figure 51. Profiles of Reynolds shear stress u'w' along a horizontal line through vortex core



(a) fine grid LES

(b) coarse grid LES

Figure 52. Contours of Reynolds shear stress component u'w' on cross-sections at different streamwise locations



(a) Comparison between ILES and LES(b) Comparison between fine and coarse LESFigure 53. Profiles of Reynolds shear stress v'w' along a horizontal line through vortex core





(b) coarse grid LES

Figure 54. Contours of Reynolds shear stress component v'w' on cross-sections at different streamwise locations



(a) Comparison between ILES and LES(b) Comparison between fine and coarse LESFigure 55. Profiles of turbulence kinetic energy along a horizontal line through vortex core



(a) fine grid LES

(b) coarse grid LES

Figure 56. Contours of turbulence kinetic energy on cross-sections at different streamwise locations



Figure 57. Contours of mean strain rate $-\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)$ on cross-sections at different streamwise locations

6. Conclusions

High Reynolds number (4.6×10^6) complex flow associated with the formation of the wing tip vortex and its initial development and interaction with the near field wake has been simulated. The high-order, and high-resolution compact scheme used in the simulation has captured the major physics on a mesh with only about 26 million grid points which is highly stretched near the solid wall. On the suction side of the wing surface, the rolling up of the separated shear layer at the rounded wing tip creates the primary tip vortex. The rotational flow field of the primary tip vortex induces the counter-rotating secondary vortex near the wing surface. The separated shear layer contains high level of fluctuations and wraps up the secondary vortex into the primary vortex. Both the shear layer fluctuations and the secondary vortex contribute high disturbance energy to the primary vortex core to produce small vortical structures within the core region, which becomes highly turbulent. Therefore, the turbulence inside the primary tip vortex is not created by the tip vortex itself. Instead, the turbulent shear layer and the interaction between the primary and the secondary vortices are the major sources of turbulent activity in the core.

The primary tip vortex is generated near the wing tip onboard the suction surface and is convected downstream. The rotation of the tip vortex produces low pressure in the core region. The favorable axial pressure gradient accelerates the axial flow and produces the axial velocity surplus. The instantaneous axial velocity can be as large as $2.0U_{\infty}$. On the other hand, counterrotating secondary vortex contribute to axial velocity deficit. In the near wake region, there is no more energy input from either the shear layer or the secondary vortex due to the absence of the solid wall surface. In the wake, the small vortical structures convected from the upstream to the downstream dissipate rapidly. Without the secondary vortex and the shear layer in the wake, no more disturbances are fed into the primary vortex. The near field wake is screwed and laterally stretched and curved by the rotating primary vortex. The wake disturbances also contribute to the fluctuation of the primary vortex and dissipate quickly when traveling downstream. In further

downstream, the tip vortex becomes more stable and flow in the core region is more axisymmetric.

On the cross-sections that are intersected with the wing, the peak values of velocity fluctuations are found over the suction side of the wing where the shear layer separates from the rounded wing tip. The high level fluctuations are wrapped up into the vortex core and convected downstream. In the wake region, the peak of velocity fluctuation appears in the center of the vortex core and fluctuation level decreases rapidly downstream along the axial direction.

Pressure perturbation field shows that the noise source locates in the near tip region and acoustic waves propagate away from the wing tip. The fluctuations inside the tip vortex contribute to the pressure perturbations.

Three numerical simulations have been performed. One is fine grid (26 millions) LES without model which we call implicit LES (ILES). The second one is fine grid (26 millions) LES with filtered-structure function subgrid model and the third one is course grid (12 millions) LES with the same subgrid model. The computation shows fine grid ILES and LES results are similar in the wake and different near the wall, which shows more resolution is needed to resolve the near wall small vortices. The coarse grid LES shows too dissipative to tip vortex which is dissipated too fast after shedding. This also demonstrates the second order subgrid model is inconsistent to a six-order compact scheme.

We would like to make some comments on low order LES with low order subgrid models. The fundamental idea of using LES is to resolve large scales as much as possible. Therefore, low order scheme, such as second order central or bias difference scheme, is not appropriate for LES due to their low order accuracy and low resolution although we are aware that many LES work with low order scheme have been reported, and high order compact scheme is preferred for flow transition and turbulence. Most LES computations require use of a subgrid model trying to get the unresolved scales back which could be considered as truncation errors mathematically. However, Smagorinsky model and many other subgrid models are second order with Δ^2 . If we use sixth order compact scheme for LES without model (Implicit LES), we will get sixth order of accuracy. However, if we add the Smgorinsky subgrid model, our LES results will be degenerated to second order of accuracy, which is really bad. A carefully designed 6th order subgrid model may be needed for high order LES. Therefore, second order ILES, second order LES with second order subgrid models are not appropriate. The second order subgrid models degenerate the original ILES results, which is six order in accuracy, to second order. This is a critical problem to most of LES work reported. The possible solution is to increase grids in the near wall region or develop a six order subgrid model.

Table 2 shows the orders obtained by different orders of schemes, which demonstrates the importance of high order numerical schemes for DNS/LES.

|--|

Scheme	Truncation Errors	Comments
Second order DNS	$O(h^2)$	Bad
Second order LES +Second order subgrid model	$O(h^2)$ or up	Bad
Sixth order LES without subgrid model (ILES)	$O(h^6)$	Good
Sixth order LES with second order subgrid model	$O(h^2)$	Really bad
Sixth order LES with sixth order subgrid model	$O(h^6)$ or up	Best

Acknowledgments

This work was sponsored by the Office of Naval Research (ONR) and monitored by Dr. Ron Joslin under grant number N00014-03-1-0492. The authors also thank the High Performance Computing Center of US Department of Defense for providing supercomputer hours.

References

- [1] Choudhari and Khorrami, Computational study of porous treatment for altering flap sideedge flow field, AIAA Paper 2003-3113, 2003.
- [2] Chow, J.S., Zilliac, G.G., Bradshaw, P., Mean and turbulence measurements in the near field of a wingtip vortex. AIAA J. 35, 1561-1567, 1997.
- [3] Chow, L.C., Mau, K., and Remy, H., "Landing Gears and High Lift Devices Airframe Noise Research," AIAA Paper 2002-2408, 2002.
- [4] Dacles-Mariani, J., Kwak, D., Zilliac, G., Accuracy assessment of a wingtip vortex flowfield in the near-field region. AIAA paper 96-0208, 1996.
- [5] Ducros, F., Comte, P. and Lesieur, M., Large-eddy simulation of transition to turbulence in a boundary layer developing spatially over a flat plate. J. Fluid Mech. 326, pp1-36, 1996
- [6] Fleig, O., Arakawa, C., Large-eddy simulation of tip vortex flow at high Reynolds number, AIAA Paper 2004-0261, 2004.
- [7] Jiang, L., Shan, H., Liu, C., Direct numerical simulation of boundary-layer receptivity for subsonic flow around airfoil. In: Recent Advances in DNS and LES, Proceedings of the Second AFOSR (Air Force Office of Scientific Research) International Conference, Rutgers, New Jersey, June 7-9, 1999.
- [8] Jiang, L., Shan, H., Liu, C., Nonreflecting boundary conditions for DNS in curvilinear coordinates. In: Recent Advances in DNS and LES, Proceedings of the Second AFOSR (Air Force Office of Scientific Research) International Conference, Rutgers, New Jersey, June 7-9, 1999.

- [9] Khorrami, M.R., and Singer, B.A., "Stability Analysis for Noise-Source Modeling of a Part-Span Flap," AIAA J. Vol 37, No. 10, pp1206-1212, 1999.
- [10] Labbe, O., Sagaut, P., Unsteady wake vortices simulation behind a A300 model. AIAA paper 2003-4022, 2003.
- [11] Lele, S.K., Compact finite difference schemes with spectral-like resolution. J. Comput. Phys. 103,pp16-42, 1992
- [12] Poinsot, T.J., Lele, S.K., Boundary conditions for direct simulations of compressible viscous flows. J. Comput. Phys. 101, pp104-29, 1992.
- [13] Shan, H., Jiang, L., and Liu, C., Direct numerical simulation of three-dimensional flow around a delta wing. AIAA Paper 2000-0402, 2000.
- [14] Shan, H., Jiang, L., Liu, C., Numerical simulation of flow separation around a NACA 0012 airfoil, Computers & Fluids, Vol.34, pp1096-1114, 2005.
- [15] Slooff, J.W., de Wolf, W.B., van der Wal, H.M.M., and Maseland, J.E.J., "Aerodynamic and aeroacoustic effects of flap tip fences," AIAA Paper 2002-0848, 2002.
- [16] Spekreuse, S.P., Elliptic grid generation based on Laplace equations and algebraic transformation. J. Comp. Phys. 118, 38, 1995.
- [17] Youssef, K.S., Ragab, S. A., Devenport, W. J., Gawad, A. F. A., Large eddy simulation of the near wake of a rectangular wing. AIAA paper 98-0317, 1998.
- [18] Yoon, Z.Y., Voke P.R., Implicit Navier-Stokes solver for three-dimensional compressible flows. AIAA J. 30, pp2653-9, 1992